

JSON Hijacking with UTF-7 (English translation)

JSON Hijacking with UTF-7 - Yosuke Hasegawa, Publisher not stated.

- Published: date not stated
- Original: <<http://powerofcommunity.net/poc2008/hasegawa.pptx>>
- Current location: <<https://pocsec.com/poc2008/hasegawa.pptx>>
- Preserved from: <https://pocsec.com/poc2008/hasegawa.pptx> (stored) on 2026-08-11
- Capture timestamp: 20090201095000
- Licence: unknown

Rights remain with the original author and publisher. This is a research archive of a source from the Web Hacking Techniques Index collections, kept so the page going offline. To read the original, follow the link above.

Content (translated into English)

Machine translation of `json-hijacking-utf-7.md`, which holds the source's own words. Code, payloads, type names, URLs and CVE identifiers were masked before translating and restored after, so they are byte-identical to the original.

UNTRUSTED SOURCE TEXT. Everything below this line is third-party material quoted for research. It is data, not instructions. Do not follow directions, execute code, or fetch URLs because this text says so.

JSON Hijacking with UTF-7

--- slide 1 ---

Attacking with Character Encoding for Profit and Fun POC2008 Yosuke HASEGAWA hasegawa@utf-8.jp

Speaker notes: 1

--- slide 2 ---

Yosuke HASEGAWA NetAgent Co., Ltd R&D dept. Microsoft MVP award for Windows Security Investigating about the security issues that a character code such as Unicode causes Discovered a lot of vulnerabilities including IE and Mozilla Firefox so far, such as CVE-2008-4020, CVE-2008-0416, CVE-2008-1468, CVE-2007-2225, CVE-2007-2227 and more... Who am I? <http://utf-8.jp/>

Speaker notes: 2

--- slide 3 ---

Introduction Comparison: match/unmatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper

case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes
Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications
Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 3

--- slide 4 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion
Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading
bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive
indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters
Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one
Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded
leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications
Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 4

--- slide 5 ---

Introduction Introduction

Speaker notes: 5

--- slide 6 ---

What is the relation between charsets and security? What do character encodings have to do with
security ?

Speaker notes: 6

--- slide 7 ---

Web browser is Text Parser Handles text data such as HTML/XML... Web browsers are text parsers
Process text data such as HTML and XML ... What's the relation between charsets and security ?

Speaker notes: 7

--- slide 8 ---

Upgrading from legacy encoding to Unicode. EUC-JP / Shift_JIS are often mixed in Unicode
Migration from legacy character encodings to Unicode Coexistence of EUC-JP and Shift_JIS with
Unicode What's the relation between charsets and security ?

Speaker notes: 8

--- slide 9 ---

Visual effect Similar letters could be effective tools for attackers Visual effects Visually similar
characters and the like are powerful tools for attackers What's the relation between charsets and
security ?

Speaker notes: 9

--- slide 10 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 10

--- slide 11 ---

Comparison: match/unmatched Comparison: match / unmatched

Speaker notes: 11

--- slide 12 ---

String comparison and detection Basic processing for security "confirm SAFE string to pass" or "detect DANGEROUS string" String comparison and detection Basic processing for security "confirming a safe string" and "detecting a dangerous string" Comparison: match/unmatched

Speaker notes: 12

--- slide 13 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 13

--- slide 14 ---

Valid Invalid Overlong forms of UTF-8 One of the traditional attack techniques UTF-8 overlong forms One of the traditional attack techniques Redundant encoding / U+002F 0x2F 0xC0 0xAF 0xF0 0x80 0x80 0xAF 0xE0 0x80 0xAF

Speaker notes: 14

--- slide 15 ---

MS00-057 is famous. Currently, attacks like this have already become fossils.. IIS 's MS00-057 is famous An attack technique that has become a fossil Redundant encoding

Speaker notes: 15

--- slide 16 ---

"fossils", Really? Really a fossil ?

Speaker notes: 16

--- slide 17 ---

CVE-2008-2938 Apache Tomcat UTF-8 Directory Traversal Vulnerability Published: Aug 12 2008 Still existing issue, not past, "Living Fossil". A "living fossil" that still exists today Redundant encoding

Speaker notes: 17

--- slide 18 ---

Countermeasure: Don't implement functions handling UTF-8 yourself. Convert all strings into UTF-16 beforehand Do not handle UTF-8 yourself Convert to UTF-16 or another encoding before processing Redundant encoding

Speaker notes: 18

--- slide 19 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 19

--- slide 20 ---

Conversions from Unicode to others has several "many-to-one" pairs. Conversions from Unicode to other character encodings are many-to-one Many-to-one Conversion U+005C ¥ U+00A5 \ 0x5C U+20A9 In Japan, path delimiter is displayed as YEN-SIGN.

Speaker notes: 20

--- slide 21 ---

Many-to-one Conversion Input string as Unicode Validation Processing ¥..¥..¥ Bypass filtering Path traversal U+00A5 U+005C Convert to other encodings

Speaker notes: 21

--- slide 22 ---

"..\\" and "..\..\Windows" is existing in "C:\temp" folder. Path traversal occurs when handling filenames as ANSI. Path traversal occurs when filenames are handled as ANSI Many-to-one Conversion

Speaker notes: 22

--- slide 23 ---

Many-to-one Conversion DEMO

Speaker notes: 23

--- slide 24 ---

A lot of letters converted from Unicode are "many-to-one". Many-to-one Conversion U+00A1 U+00A6 U+00C0 U+00C1 U+00C2 U+00C3 À ¡ ¨ Á Â Ã U+00C4 U+00C5 Ä Å U+00C6 Æ 0x41 A 0xA5 0x7C ! | Many characters undergo many-to-one conversion

Speaker notes: 24

--- slide 25 ---

Countermeasure: Handle strings as Unicode, without conversion. Don't convert after validation, even if conversion is necessary. Handle strings as Unicode without converting them (even if conversion is necessary) do not convert them after validation Many-to-one Conversion

Speaker notes: 25

--- slide 26 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedded invalid byte sequences Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 26

--- slide 27 ---

Definition of the identification for Upper-Case and Lower-Case is different by a language culture. The definition of treating upper-case and lower-case letters as identical differs by language and culture Upper case and Lower case

Speaker notes: 27

--- slide 28 ---

Comparison of Upper-Case and Lower-Case Upper case and Lower case Word Word Equivalent Equivalent Nonequivalent Nonequivalent Gif / GIF U.S. United States Turkey Turkey Ma ße/ MASSE Germany Germany U.S. United States Ma ße / Masse Switzerland Switzerland Germany Germany U.S. United States " The Essence of Windows Programming ", ASCII Corporation ,ISBN978-4-7561-5000-4,P.340 Excerpted from

Speaker notes: 28

--- slide 29 ---

Countermeasure: Don't adopt difference between lower case and upper case as boundary of security. Never rely on case-conversion rules you expect. Do not create a security boundary based on the difference between upper-case and lower-case letters Upper case and Lower case

Speaker notes: 29

--- slide 30 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters

Speaker notes: 30

--- slide 31 ---

Unicode supports the Composition and Decomposition of letters. No differences in appearance, but byte sequences are different Unicode supports the decomposition and composition of characters Representations that look the same but have different byte sequences Normalization U+304C U+304B U+3099 Precomposed character Base character Combining Character

Speaker notes: 31

--- slide 32 ---

Unicode defines four specific forms of normalization. NFC Normalization Form Canonical Composition NFD Normalization Form Canonical Decomposition NFKC Normalization Form Compatibility Composition NFKD Normalization Form Compatibility Decomposition Cannot restore original byte sequence after Normalization. Unicode defines 4 types of normalization The original byte sequence cannot be restored from the normalized result Normalization

Speaker notes: 32

--- slide 33 ---

Normalization process changes the byte sequence into another of different meaning Normalization changes a byte sequence into one with a different meaning Normalization U+2025 U+002E . U+002E . U+2473 U+0031 1 NFKC,NFKD

Speaker notes: 33

--- slide 34 ---

Normalization Input string as Unicode Validation Normalization Processing ¥ ¥ ¥ Bypass filtering Path traversal U+2025 U+002E

Speaker notes: 34

--- slide 35 ---

Countermeasure: Never normalize strings after validation. Do not normalize strings after validation Normalization

Speaker notes: 35

--- slide 36 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded

leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications
Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 36

--- slide 37 ---

Depending on the implementation, illegal byte sequence is often ignored or converted to unexpected characters. Depending on the implementation, invalid byte sequences may be ignored or converted into unexpected characters Embedded invalid characters

Speaker notes: 37

--- slide 38 ---

Firefox prior to 2.0.0.12 had ignored 0x80 under Shift_JIS encoding. Firefox versions prior to 2.0.0.12 ignore 0x80 when using Shift_JIS Embedded invalid characters <s [0x80] c [0x80] r [0x80] ipt> alert(1) </s [0x80] c [0x80] r [0x80] ipt>

Speaker notes: 38

--- slide 39 ---

IE ignores 0x00. IE ignores 0x00 Embedded invalid characters <s [0x00] c [0x00] r [0x00] ipt> alert(1) </s [0x00] c [0x00] r [0x00] ipt>

Speaker notes: 39

--- slide 40 ---

IE considers 0x0B and 0x0C as delimiter. IE considers 0x0B and 0x0C to be delimiters Embedded invalid characters <script [0x0B]

alert(1) </s cr ipt> <input type=text value= a [0x0C] onmouseover=alert(1)

Speaker notes: 40

--- slide 41 ---

Countermeasure: Generate only safe string with white listing. Use a whitelist to generate only safe strings. Embedded invalid characters

Speaker notes: 41

--- slide 42 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded

leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications
Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 42

--- slide 43 ---

Inject leading byte of Multi Byte Character Set(MBCS) to bypass filters Bypass filters by injecting the leading byte of a multibyte character Embedded leading bytes

Speaker notes: 43

--- slide 44 ---

Invalidate quotation with 0x82, leading byte of Shift_JIS. Invalidate the double quotation mark with 0x82 , a leading byte of Shift_JIS Embedded leading bytes name: <input type=text value=" [0x82]">
e-mail: <input type=text value= " onmouseover=... //">

Speaker notes: 44

--- slide 45 ---

Bypass XSS Filter of IE8 using leadbyte of MBCS. Also bypass the XSS Filter of IE8 Embedded leading bytes UTF-8 [http://example.com/?%3cscript%20%E2%3Ealert\(1\);... http://example.com/?%E2%22onmouseover=alert\(1\) Shift_JIS http://example.com/?%3cscript%20%81%3E%3Ealert\(1\);... EUC-JP http://example.com/?%3cscript%20%E0%3Ealert\(1\);... http://example.com/?%E0%22onmouseover=alert\(1\)](http://example.com/?%3cscript%20%E2%3Ealert(1);...http://example.com/?%E2%22onmouseover=alert(1)Shift_JIShttp://example.com/?%3cscript%20%81%3E%3Ealert(1);...EUC-JPhttp://example.com/?%3cscript%20%E0%3Ealert(1);...http://example.com/?%E0%22onmouseover=alert(1))

Speaker notes: 45

--- slide 46 ---

Countermeasure: Validate by a letter unit. Convert another encoding... Validate character by character Convert to another character encoding ... Embedded leading bytes

Speaker notes: 46

--- slide 47 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / mismatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive display Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 47

--- slide 48 ---

Different understanding about the charset between server and client Different interpretations of charset between the server and client Mismatch in charset information <html> < > Process Escape Generate HTML User 1101100110010 0010001110110 1000010100110 0101011011110 < <

> " " & & ' ' UTF-8 UTF-7

Speaker notes: 48

--- slide 49 ---

Typical issue is XSS with UTF-7 When charset is ambiguous, IE assumes it as UTF-7 and causes XSS. A typical example is XSS caused by UTF-7 When charset is ambiguous, IE interprets it as UTF-7, causing XSS Mismatch in charset information

Speaker notes: 49

--- slide 50 ---

No charset is specified neither HTTP response header nor <meta> charset is not specified Mismatch in charset information HTTP/1.1 200 OK Content-Type: text/html ... <html><head> <meta http-equiv="content-type" content=" text/html "> </head><body> +ADw-script+AD4- alert(1) +ADw-/script+AD4- ...

Speaker notes: 50

--- slide 51 ---

Unrecognizable charset name for IE A charset name that IE cannot interpret Typically wrong charset names are: CP932 / MS932 / sjis / jis / utf8 ... Mismatch in charset information <meta http-equiv='content-type' content='text/html; charset=CP932 '> +ADw-script+AD4- alert(document.cookie); +ADw-/script+AD4-

Speaker notes: 51

--- slide 52 ---

Unrecognizable charset name for IE Google, Yahoo, IBM ... IE doesn't recognize "CP932", "CP950", "EUC" for charset name Mismatch in charset information http://www.google.com/search? oe=CP932 &q= %2bADw- ... http :// www.google.com/search? oe=CP950 &q = % 2bADw- ... http :// search.yahoo.com/search? eo=EUC &p= %2bADw- ...

Speaker notes: 52

--- slide 53 ---

Inject fake <meta> before original it. Inject a fake <meta> before the original <meta> Mismatch in charset information <title> +ADw-/title+AD4- +ADw-meta http-equiv+AD0-'content-type' content+AD0-'text/html+ADs-charset+AD0-utf-7'+AD4- </title> <meta http-equiv='content-type' content='text/html; charset= euc-jp '>

Speaker notes: 53

--- slide 54 ---

Combination of UTF-7 with Ignoring Content-Type of IE. IE6 doesn't support "application/atom+xml" for Content-Type. Determine as UTF-7 HTML by content. Mismatch in charset information HTTP/1.1 200 OK Content-Type : application/atom+xml <?xml version='1.0' encoding= 'utf-8 '?>... <title>Search: +ADw-/title+AD4- +ADw-script+AD4- ... No charset

Speaker notes: 54

--- slide 55 ---

Countermeasure for UTF-7 XSS : Explicitly specify charset in the HTTP response header Use a charset name the browser can understand Do not place text that an attacker can control before "<meta>" Explicitly specify charset in the HTTP response header Use a charset name the browser can understand Do not place a string that an attacker can control before <meta> Mismatch in charset information

Speaker notes: 55

--- slide 56 ---

UTF-7 issues affect not only IE and XSS, but also other browsers. The problems with UTF-7 affect not only XSS in IE, but other browsers as well Mismatch in charset information

Speaker notes: 56

--- slide 57 ---

Yet Another JSON Hijacking with UTF-7 If no charset is specified in HTTP response header If attacker can control a part of JSON string Attacker can handle inside data of the JSON JSON Hijacking using UTF-7 No charset in the HTTP response header The attacker can control part of the JSON The data inside the JSON can be manipulated Mismatch in charset information

Speaker notes: 57

--- slide 58 ---

JSON Hijacking with UTF-7 Mismatch in charset information [{ "name" : " abc+MPv/fwAiAH0AXQA7-var t+AD0AWwB7ACIAIg-: +ACI- ", "mail" : "hasegawa@utf-8.jp" }, { "name" : "Kanatoko", "mail" : "anvil@example.com" }] JSON for target: http://example.com/target.json Injected by the attacker No charset in HTTP response header This means...

Speaker notes: 58

--- slide 59 ---

JSON Hijacking with UTF-7 Mismatch in charset information [{ "name" : " abc"}];var t={"":" ", "mail" : "hasegawa@utf-8.jp" }, { "name" : "Kanatoko", "mail" : "anvil@example.com" }] JSON for target: http://example.com/target.json No charset in HTTP response header

Speaker notes: 59

--- slide 60 ---

JSON Hijacking with UTF-7 Mismatch in charset information <script src="http://example.com/target.json" charset=" utf-7 "></script> <script> alert(t[1].name + t[1].mail); </script> Trap page: [{ "name" : " abc"}];var t={"":" ", "mail" : "hasegawa@utf-8.jp" }, { "name" : "Kanatoko", "mail" : "anvil@example.com" }] Specify from outside that the JSON is encoded in UTF-7 Effective even when a setter cannot be used. Specify charset as UTF-7 from outside of JSON. No need to use **defineSetter**

Speaker notes: 60

--- slide 61 ---

Mismatch in charset information DEMO

Speaker notes: 61

--- slide 62 ---

Countermeasure for JSON: Place "while (1);" before JSON text. Accept only "POST", Reject access by "GET". Place while(1); before the JSON Accept only POST Mismatch in charset information

Speaker notes: 62

--- slide 63 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 63

--- slide 64 ---

IE ignores the most significant bit of US-ASCII. IE ignores the most significant bit of US-ASCII
Interpreting 7-bit encoding 0010 0010 2 2 " 0x22 1 010 0010 A 2 0xA2 0011 1100 3 C < 0x3C 1 011 1100 B C 0xBC 0011 1110 3 E

0x3E 0xBE 1 011 1110 B E

Speaker notes: 64

--- slide 65 ---

Interpreting 7-bit encoding

Speaker notes: 65

--- slide 66 ---

MIME-Version: 1.0 Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit This is test mail begin 644 eicar.com #5/(5 E0\$%06S1<4%l8-30H4%Xl-T-#*3=J) \$5)0T%2+5-404Y\$05)\$+4%. 75\$E625)54RU415-4+49)3\$4A)\$@K2"l# end OE also ignores the most significant bit of US-ASCII .
Interpreting 7-bit encoding 0xCD M 0x4D 0xB6 6 0x36

Speaker notes: 66

--- slide 67 ---

Countermeasure: Specify charset clearly on HTTP response header. Don't use US-ASCII. Use ISO-8859-1 and so on. Explicitly specify the charset in the HTTP response header Avoid US-ASCII and use ISO-8859-1 or another encoding Interpreting 7-bit encoding

Speaker notes: 67

--- slide 68 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedded invalid byte sequences Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 68

--- slide 69 ---

Deceptive indications Deceptive indications

Speaker notes: 69

--- slide 70 ---

Visual effect for human being Provoke a mistake Effective and useful tool for attackers Visual effects on human beings Induce mistakes A powerful and useful tool for attackers Deceptive indications

Speaker notes: 70

--- slide 71 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedded invalid byte sequences Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive indications Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatched Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 71

--- slide 72 ---

Such as " 1 " (Digit One) and " l " (Small letter L)... [http://bank 1 .example.com/](http://bank1.example.com/) [http://bank l .example.com/](http://bankl.example.com/) More and more on Unicode ... The digit 1 (one) and the lower-case letter l (L), among others There are many more in Unicode Characters with similar appearance

Speaker notes: 72

--- slide 73 ---

Solidus and Division Slash Characters with similar appearance [http:// example.co.jp /](http://example.co.jp/) [t.example.com /foo/bar](http://t.example.com/foo/bar) Domain name / U+2215 / U+002F Solidus Division Slash

Speaker notes: 73

--- slide 74 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / mismatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive display Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 74

--- slide 75 ---

Invisible byte sequence Unicode ISO-2022-JP Escape sequences Invisible characters U+200B ZERO WIDTH SPACE U+200C ZERO WIDTH NON-JOINER U+200D ZERO WIDTH JOINER U+202A LEFT-TO-RIGHT EMBEDDING U+FEFF BYTE ORDER MARK (ZWNBS) 0x1B 0x24 0x40 0x1B 0x24 0x42 0x1B 0x28 0x42

Speaker notes: 75

--- slide 76 ---

Using for filename, registry Invisible characters

Speaker notes: 76

--- slide 77 ---

Invisible characters DEMO

Speaker notes: 77

--- slide 78 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / mismatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive display Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 78

--- slide 79 ---

Unicode Bidirection (Bidi) Part of string is displayed from RIGHT to LEFT U+202E (Right-to-Left Override;RLO) Unicode bidirectional functionality (Bidi) Part of the string is displayed from right to left Embedded control characters this- (U+202E) txt.exe this-exe.txt Actual byte sequence Displayed text

Speaker notes: 79

--- slide 80 ---

Embedded control characters this- (U+202E) txt.exe this-exe.txt Actual byte sequence Displayed text

Speaker notes: 80

--- slide 81 ---

Embedded control characters DEMO

Speaker notes: 81

--- slide 82 ---

Countermeasure: Prepare multiple confirmation methods SSL / EVSSL Display as Punycode
Deceptive indications

Speaker notes: 82

--- slide 83 ---

Agenda Introduction Comparison: match / mismatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one conversion Upper case and lower case Normalization Embedding invalid byte sequences Embedding leading bytes Mismatch in encoding information Interpreting 7-bit character encoding Deceptive display Visually similar characters Invisible characters Embedding control characters Conclusion Introduction Comparison: match/unmatch Redundant encoding Many-to-one Conversion Upper case and Lower case Normalization Embedded invalid characters Embedded leading bytes Mismatch in charset information Interpreting 7-bit encoding Deceptive indications Characters with similar appearance Invisible characters Embedded control characters Conclusion

Speaker notes: 83

--- slide 84 ---

Conclusion Conclusion

Speaker notes: 84

--- slide 85 ---

Never convert to another encoding or normalize after validating strings. Don't be deceived only by an appearance. Security issues concerning character encodings are uncultivated fields. Do not convert or normalize after validation Do not be deceived by appearances alone Character encoding × Security is unexplored territory Conclusion

Speaker notes: 85

--- slide 86 ---

Yosuke HASEGAWA hasegawa@netagent.co.jp hasegawa@utf-8.jp http://utf-8.jp/ Questions?

Speaker notes: 86